							Performance					
		Pre	vious ye	ars' perfo	rmance		Quarter 1 Ta	arget Range	Analysis of per			rmance
PI Description	D ibi.						Lower		Q1 Actual	Within	Variance	Direction of Travel
Month Actual 2009/10 Outturn	Responsible Officer & Directorate	Actual 2009/10	Target 2010/11	Actual 2010/11	Q1 Actual (June 10/11)	Target 2011/12	Bandwidth Target	Target		Target Range (RED /	(performance against Q1	(comparing 11/12 and
Target - Aspirational — Target - Lowest Performance	Directorate								(June 11/12)	GREEN)	target)	10/11 Q1 actual)
Theme 1: One Tower Hamlets											,	
Percentage of earners that are LP07 or above of Local Authority staff that are women	Simon Kilbey											
Measured in: % (This indicator was a former BVPI and is monitored as part of the Council's Workforce to Reflect the Community Strategy) Good Performance: Higher	Resources	51	50	46.34	50	50	49.8	50	46.41	RED	-7.2% AMBER	1
Quarter 1: Performance is below the target range but has marginally improved against last year Reflect the Community programme, which will be brought into play in order to have a greater n				ives have b	een agreed as p	oart of the Wo	orkforce to	66 66	ш			
Reflect the Community programme, which will be brought into play in order to have a greater in	iedidili terili ilili	act on this	indicator.					u u				
								2010/11 2011/12 Apr outturn outturn	May Jun Jul	Aug Sep	Oct Nov Dec	Jan Feb Mar
Percentage of earners that are LP07 or above of Local Authority staff that are from	Simon Kilbey											
an ethnic minority.	Resources										-25.4%	^
Measured in: % (This indicator was a former BVPI and is monitored as part of the Council's Workforce to Reflect the Community Strategy)  Good Performance: Higher	Resources	17	27	22.23	22	30	22.23	30	22.37	GREEN	RED	T
Quarter 1: Performance is within the target range but has marginally dipped after several mont initiatives have been agreed as part of the Workforce to Reflect the Community programme, w								-				
initiatives have been agreed as part of the Worklote to Reneet the Community programme, w	THEIT WIII DE DIOC	grit irito pie	ay iii oldol	to nave a g	cater medium	em impact c	in this indicator	_				
												<del></del>
Stranger folic								2008/19 outlant 3910/11 outlant Apr	May 3.0 34	Aug Sep	O.E Nov Dec	Jan Feb Mar
Percentage of earners that are LP07 or above of Local Authority staff who have a disability (excluding those in maintained schools.)	Simon Kilbey											
Measured in: % (This indicator was a former BVPI and is monitored as part of the Council's	Resources										-63.6%	
Workforce to Reflect the Community Strategy. Staff who have a disability are those that identify themselves as such in the staff survey, against the definition provided in the Disability		2	5.4	2	2	5.5	2	5.5	2	GREEN	RED	<b>└</b> /
Discrimination Act 1995).  Good Performance: Higher												
Quarter 1: Performance has remained constant in the last 2 months of this quarter. Of overall s	staff at LP07 and	above, 3	have curre	ntly declare	d a disability. To	o reach our to	arget, we would	_				
require a total of 8 disabled staff at LP07 and above. Further improvement will rely in part on the undertake a staff equality audit which will improve data quality - this may result in more disable staff overall have informed the Council whether or not they have a disability.								-				
								2009/10-outsum 2010/11 outsum Apr	May Jun Jul	Aug Sap	Oct Nov Dec	Jan Feb Mar

				2011/12 Previous years' performance					2011/12 P	erformance				
				Pre	vious ye	ars' perfo	rmance		Quarter 1 Ta	arget Range		Ana	lysis of perfo	rmance
PI Ref No	PI Description										24.4.4	Within		Direction of
E	Month Actual	2009/10 Outturn	Responsible Officer &		Target	Actual	Q1 Actual (June 10/11)	Target 2011/12	Lower Bandwidth Target	Target	Q1 Actual	Target Range	Variance (performance against Q1	Travel (comparing 11/12 and
	- Target - Aspirational	Target - Lowest Performance	Directorate	2007710	2010711	2010/11	(Suite 10711)	2011/12	rarget		(June 11/12)	(RED / GREEN)	target)	10/11 Q1 actual)
Strategic105	Number of working days/shifts lost to	sickness absence per employee.	Simon Kilbey							•		J		
		days lost due to sickness absence irrespective of GP or long term divided by the average number of FTE	Resources	8.54	6.5	7.31	8.3	6.5	7.25	6.5	7.17	GREEN	-10.3% RED	①
A decrea	ase of 0.8 day in the average has been	achieved for the month ending June 2011.		U.						4	II			
										200010 201011 Apr 201	112 May Jun Jul	Aug Sap	Oct Nev Duc	Jan Feb Mar
Strategic110a	Customer Access Overall Satisfaction	n	Claire Symonds										-1.10%	^
	Measured in: % Good Performance: Higher		Resources	N/A	90	86.8	89.3	92	89	92	91	GREEN	AMBER	辽
Performa	□ ance is within range, and at 91% just sl	lightly below the target of 92%.								1			<u> </u>	
										200110 colors 2010111 colors Apr	Mag Jan Ja	Aug 500	OX No De	Jan Felh Mar
Strategic101a	Variation of projected outturn from bu	udget (+/-)	Chris Naylor											
	Measured in: £m Good Performance: Lower		Resources	90	91	90	1.239	0	0	0	1.422	RED	RED	11
All Direc	ormance to May 2011 is included as Jutorates were forecasting spend to budg g on this goes to Cabinet separately.	une data is not yet available. get except Childrens, Schools and Families - the	e reason for the	variance is	that savin	gs targets to	achieve £1.7m	nillion of savi	ngs during 2011	/12 are yet to b	e formalised.			

								2011/12 P	erformance	<b>a</b>			
			Pre	vious yea	ars' perfo	rmance		Quarter 1 Ta	arget Range		Analysis of perfor		rmance
	PI Description  Month Actual 2009/10 Outturn  Target - Aspirational Target - Lowest Performance  2: A Great Place to Live	Responsible Officer & Directorate	Actual 2009/10	Target 2010/11	Actual 2010/11	Q1 Actual (June 10/11)	Target 2011/12	Lower Bandwidth Target	Target	Q1 Actual (June 11/12)	Within Target Range (RED / GREEN)	Variance (performance against Q1 target)	Direction of Travel (comparing 11/12 and 10/11 Q1 actual)
Strategic207,	Net additional homes provided	Jackie								1			
National 154	Measured in: Number (the sum of new build completions, minus demolitions, plus any gains o losses through change of use and conversions)  Good Performance: Higher	Odunoye	2398	2999	1163	92	1605	390	401	34	RED	-91.5% RED	$\hat{\mathbb{T}}$
	ave been cleared and counted on the London Development Database. This is a p currently non reportable via the LDD, due to missing plot level breakdowns. If this i								2800				
Although developers are under no legal obligation to provide the Council with detailed completions information, processes are still being strengthened to collect outstanding information from them to maximise the numbers reported. It also worth noting that housing completions are spread out across the year with the majority of completions expected in Q4.										2010/11 outlain	Jun	Sep Dec	Mar
Strategic/208, National 155	Number of affordable homes delivered (gross)  Measured in: Number (the sum of social rent housing and intermediate housing - low cost hon ownership and intermediate rent)  Good Performance: Higher	Jackie Odunoye e Development & Renewal	1931	1287	733	116	1231	297	308	326	GREEN	5.8% GREEN	矿
to match to building p  The forecto comple	Quarterly target = 297. 11/12 target range = 1187 - 1231. Performance is above target for Quarter 1, as 326 units of new affordable housing were produced. It is rare for one quarter's figure to match the target exactly as this indicator does not measure a process which provides an even performance across the year. Completed housing units come in batches, as individual building projects complete, and the completion dates are never evenly distributed throughout the year.  The forecast is that our annual performance will be comfortably over the higher target figure of 1231. The total predicted output is 2034 units, but as a quantity of these units are scheduled to complete in March 2012, it is likely that some of these will slip into 2012/13. There are 38 different schemes currently on site which are due to deliver units this year and our RSL partners, encouraged by the HCA, will be doing everything they can to ensure completion before 31.3.12.												See Fee Nor
Strategic 223	Number of social rented housing completions for family housing (gross figures or Measured in: Number (a count of the number of affordable housing - local authority, housing associations, and co-operative tenants. Family housing is 3 bedrooms or more) Good Performance: Higher	y) Jackie Odunoye Development & Renewal	619	405	214	25	390	90	98	100	GREEN	2.04% GREEN	①
unexpecte	target = 90. Projected outturn for 2011/12 is considerably higher than was previously fell into the statistics for the 11/12 financial year.  the target for family housing for affordable rent has achieved the higher of our two	,				·			2009/10 outsum	2010/11 outturn	June	Sapt Dac	Mar

portion  and Actual  Target - Aspirational  Prosperous Community  18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET)  ared in: % Performance: Lower	Responsible Officer & Directorate	Actual	Target	Actual	Q1 Actual (June 10/11)	Target 2011/12	Quarter 1 Ta  Lower Bandwidth Target	rget Range	Q1 Actual	Within Target Range	Variance (performance against Q1	Direction of Travel (comparing
a Prosperous Community  18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET)  ured in: %	Officer & Directorate  Mary Durkin						Bandwidth	Target		Target Range	(performance	Travel (comparing
18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) ured in: %	,								(June 11/12)	(RED / GREEN)	target)	11/12 and 10/11 Q1 actual)
ured in: %	,		l .									
	Schools & Families	6	5.5	5.3	6.4	5	5.3	5	4.6	GREEN	8% GREEN	①
								2009/10 2010/11 cuttum cuttum	Jun Jul Aug	Sep Oct	Nov Dec Ja	n Feb Mar
all employment rate - working age - Maintain the current gap between the ugh and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3% sloyment Rate)  ured in: % Performance: Emloyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower	Nick Smales  Development & Renewal	(60.4)	(55.7)	-8.3 (60.3)	-7.3 (61.7)	-8.3	8.3	7.3	8.3	GREEN	-13.7% RED	Û
			therefore I	oeen maintai	ned at 8.3. The	Employmen	t Strategy has	2009/10 2010/11 Apr	May Jun Jul	Aug Sep	Oct Nov Dec	Jan Feb M
Safe and Cohesive Community		•										
rs receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or the and information ared in: % (number of carers whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council in a who received a specific carer's service, or advice and information in the same year as a natage of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Performance: Higher	Deborah Cohen Adults, Health & Wellbeing	33.9	30.90	31.3 (Prov)	7.9	35	7.8	8	7.8	GREEN	-2.5% AMBER	Û
u ol cu	In any London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  It is a support of the gap to 7.3%  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Performance: Emloyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  It is a support of the London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Safe and Cohesive Community  Safe and Cohesive Cohe	Development & Renewal loyment Rate)  Development & Renewal loyment Rate)  Development & Renewal loyment Rate    Development & Renewal loyment Rate    Development & Renewal loyment rate    Performance: Emloyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower    employment rate has been 60.7 since April 2011, compared to the London average of 69.0. The lalised and is in the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this malised and is in the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this malised and is in the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this malised and information in the service, or adults, and information in the same year as a lotter of carer's service, or advice and information in the same year as a late of people receiving a community based service in the year)	Development & Renewal (60.4)  Identify and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Development & Renewal (60.4)  Identify and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Development & Renewal (60.4)  Identify and London average of 69.0. The gap has partially a series of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this measure.  Safe and Cohesive Community  Identify a series of cares whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council in a hor received a specific carer's service, or advice and information in the same year as a latage of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Performance: Higher	Safe and Cohesive Community  s receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or a and information in the same year as a tage of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Bevelopment & Renewal  (60.4)  (55.7)  Development & Renewal  (60.4)  (55.7)  Safe and Cohesive Community  S receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or and information in the same year as a tage of people receiving a community based service in the year)	Safe and Cohesive Community  s receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or a and information in the same year as a ltage of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Bevelopment Renewal  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  (60.3)  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  Development Renewal  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  Development Renewal  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  (60.4)  (55.7)  (60.3)  Safe and Cohesive Community  S receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or and information in the same year as a ltage of people receiving a community based service in the year)	Safe and Cohesive Community  so receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or a and information in the same year as a tage of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Safe and Cohesive Community  Safe and Cohesive Cohesiv	Development & Renewal loyment Rate)  Development & Renewal loyment Rate)  Development & Renewal loyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower  Depriormance: Emloyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower  Demployment rate has been 60.7 since April 2011, compared to the London average of 69.0. The gap has therefore been maintained at 8.3. The Employment rate and is in the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this measure.  Safe and Cohesive Community  Sereceiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or a and information red in: (number of carers whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council in a lategor of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Performance: Higher	Development & Renewal (60.4) (55.7) (60.3) (61.7) (60.7)  Identify the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this measure.  Safe and Cohesive Community  Is receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or eand information in the same year as a large of feel for carers whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council in a hor received a specific carer's service, or add of the year)  Adults, Health & Wellbeing  Development & Renewal (60.4) (55.7) (60.3) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.8)  (60.4) (55.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.8)  (60.4) (55.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.8)  (60.4) (55.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.8)  (60.4) (55.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.8) (61.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (60.7) (6	all employment rate - working age - Maintain the current gap between the gap to 7.3% Igh and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3% Iouniformatic (60.4) Iouni	Is all employment rate - working age - Maintain the current gap between the gh and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Development & Renewal  Output in: %  Performance: Emloyment rate - Higher & Gap - Lower  employment rate has been 60.7 since April 2011, compared to the London average of 69.0. The gap has therefore been maintained at 8.3. The Employment Strategy has alised and is in the process of implementation. This will aim to improve performance on this measure.  Safe and Cohesive Community  Is receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or a and information  red in: % (number of carers whose needs were assessed or reviewed by the council in a hor received a specific carer's service, or advice and information in the same year as a large of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Adults, Health & Wellbeing	Is a planed London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  Levelopment Renewal (60.4) (55.7) (60.3) (61.7) (60.7)  Safe and Cohesive Community  Sereciving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or early information  red in: % (Cohen the forecast assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or early information  red in: % (Cohen the forecast assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or early information  red in: % (Wellbeing)  Adults, Health & Wellbeing  Wellbeing  Wellbeing  Adults, Health & Wellbeing	Is and London average rate (-8.3%) Upper: Reduce the gap to 7.3%  loyment Rate)  loyment Rate)  loyment Rate and Cohesive Community  Safe and Cohesive Community  serveing needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or and in formation  red in: % (Cohen ho received a specific carer's service, or advice and information in the same year as a large of people receiving a community based service in the year)  Nick Smales  1.3. 7.3 8.3 7.3 8.3  (60.4) (55.7) (60.3) (61.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (60.7)  (60.7) (

		Previous years' performance					2011/12 Performance						
		Pre	vious yea	ars' perfo	rmance		Quarter 1 Ta	Analysis of perfo			rmance		
Month Actual 2009/10 Outturn  Target - Aspirational Target - Lowest Performance	Responsible Officer & Directorate	Actual 2009/10	Target 2010/11	Actual 2010/11	Q1 Actual (June 10/11)	Target 2011/12	Lower Bandwidth Target	Target	Q1 Actual (June 11/12)	Within Target Range (RED / GREEN)	Variance (performance against Q1 target)	Direction of Travel (comparing 11/12 and 10/11 Q1 actual)	
Number of most serious violent crimes per 1,000 population  Measured in: Number (No. of recorded most serious violent crimes/total population x 1000)  Good Performance: Lower	Andy Bamber Communities, Localities & Culture	2.14	2.0972	1.77	0.52	1.73	0.44	0.43	0.6	RED	-39.5% RED	Û	
Quarter 1: This measure is off target for the April-June period. However, there are a number of the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will include 8 PCs to address cross ward issues with the Whitechapel Town Centre Team which will be a province to the Whitechapel Town Centre Team white the Whitechapel Town Centre Team white the Whitechapel Town Centre Team white the Whitechapel Team whitechapel Team whitechapel Team whitechapel Team whitechapel Team whitechapel Team with the Whitechapel Team whitechap			to reduce	most seriou	s violent crime	including the	setting up of	MINISTRAL MAN AP ATTAC	2 No. 24	Aug Sep	Ge Bo Bo		
Number of serious acquisitive crimes per 1,000 population  Measured in: Number (No. of recorded serious acquisitive crimes/total population x 1000)  Good Performance: Lower	Andy Bamber Communities, Localities & Culture	20.29	20.09	22.81	5.17	22.58	5.7	5.65	7	RED	-23.9% RED	Û	
Quarter 1: This measure is off target for the April-June period. Resources have been made av and exceeded at the end of the year.	ailable during 12	011/12 to	enable proa	active opera	tions to continu	e to arrest pr	olific offenders	as well as dete	r opportunistic ci	riminals. Ov	erall target is ex	pceted to be met	
Theme 5: A Healthy & Supportive Community													
Percentage of children becoming the subject of Child Protection Plan for a second of subsequent time  Measured in: % Good Performance: Lower	Children, Schools & Families	8.03	9-13%	10.16	11.67	7	16	7	0	GREEN	100% GREEN	矿	
Target exceeded								2000/10 cutturn	2010/11 outlium J	lun .	Sep Dec	Mar	
Social care clients and carers in receipt of Self Directed Support  Measured in: % Good Performance: Higher	Deborah Cohen Adults, Health & Wellbeing	10.7	30	30.7	7.3	90		40.7	39.6	GREEN	-2.7% AMBER	①	

			Previous years' performance			2011/12 Performance  Quarter 1 Target Range				Analysis of performance		
PI Description  Month Actual  Target - Aspirational	2009/10 Outturn Target - Lowest Performance	Responsible Officer & Directorate	Actual Target 2009/10 2010/1		Q1 Actual (June 10/11)		Lower Bandwidth Target	Target	Q1 Actual (June 11/12)	Within Target Range (RED / GREEN)	Variance (performance against Q1 target)	Direction of Travel (comparing 11/12 and 10/11 Q1 actual)
Quarter 1 figures are slightly off target, but wit	hin the overall target range.							200910 201011 A; could not outlain outlain 201	pr May Jun Jul	Aug Sep	Oct Nov Dec	Jan Feb Mar